

Message

Page 1 of 2

s.15(1)

s.21(1)(a)

~~s.21(1)(b)~~

From: Thompson, Kathy M
Sent: December 8, 2010 5:53 PM
To:
Subject: FW: WikiLeaks SitRep No. 16
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged
Classification: **UNCLASSIFIED**

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: December 8, 2010 5:41 PM
To: Moffa, Toni; Bruce, Shelly D.; Thompson, Kathy M
Cc:
Subject: FW: WikiLeaks SitRep No. 16

Classification: **UNCLASSIFIED**

FYI, nothing significant (1 new file of low relevance to Canada)

Subject: WikiLeaks SitRep No. 16

DFAIT WIKILEAKS TASK FORCE
SITUATION REPORT

SitRep Nbr. 16
Date: 08DEC
Time: 17:00

Summary Update

Total number of files reviewed to date: 1149
New files received since last SitRep: 85
New files of Interest since last SitRep: 1
Total number of files of interest to date: 9

Key Issues and Findings:

- 85 new cables posted to Wikileaks since last SitRep - 1 of low relevance to Canada.
-
- Media reports indicate a series of protests and petitions currently being organized around the world including Canada (so far Turkey, Australia, US, various European capitals) by supporters of Wikileaks and its founder Julian Assange. A protest is planned at the US

09/05/2012

A0350692_1-000001

Message

Page 2 of 2

Consulate in Montreal on Sunday, Dec. 12th from 1-2 pm.

The Wikileaks Task Force Operations Centre will close at 6 pm and re-open at 8:30 am tomorrow. The Task Force continues a 24/7 monitoring of the Wikileaks site with automatic alerts for documents uploaded with key Canadian content.

Drafted/Released: Shawn Barber

09/05/2012

A0350692_2-000002

UNCLASSIFIED

Plamondon, Jean J

From:
Sent: 3 decembre 2010 14:07
To: Plamondon, Jean J;
Cc:
Subject: WikiLeaks and Effects Q&As?

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Hi All:

Just a note to call attention to an article on cyber attacks against wikileaks Jean circultaed on SABRE (I've forwarded it to you). We should consider Q&As on this in relation to our favorite clause.

Cheers,

UNCLASSIFIED

1

Plamondon, Jean J

From: Thompson, Kathleen M (Kathy)
Sent: 3 décembre 2010 11:06
To:
Cc: Plamondon, Jean J;
Subject: FW: WikiLeaks website update
Attachments: Wikileaks Website.pdf
Classification: SECRET

As you know, CSEC has already issued an advisory to its staff. If there are any changes required, please advise.

Kathy Thompson
Director General, Policy and Communications
La directrice générale, Politiques et communications
998-2595

From:
Sent: December 3, 2010 10:23 AM
To: Adams, John L; K; Moffa, Antonietta (Toni); Bruce, Shelly D; Thompson, Kathleen M
(Kathy)
Cc:
Subject: FW: WikiLeaks website update

Classification: SECRET

All,

@cse-cst.gc.ca

2011-09-12

A0350695_1-000004

From: Elliott, Michael [mailto:ellottm@fac-aec.gc.ca]
Sent: December 3, 2010 10:00 AM
To:
Subject: WikiLeaks

Classification: SECRET

As discussed
you folks.

gave this to yesterday. I sent it onto DFAIT. Just as an FYI for

Cheers,

Mike

Mike Elliott

Canadian Embassy / Ambassade du Canada
Washington, DC

SECRET

WIKILEAKS WEBSITE

SECRET

Keyterm List

Page 1 of 1
s.15(1)
s.16(2)(c)
s.19(1)

From: Cochrane, Stephanie [CochraS@psepc-sppcc.gc.ca]

Sent: December 3, 2010 10:18 AM

To:

Subject: RE: Keyterm List

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Hi

I appreciate the update, and that does make perfect sense!

I'm also trying to find out information on the DM meeting so once I hear I will let you know.

It does not appear that the stream of w leaks is happening as they expected...

The game was eventful - the Senators played so poorly despite attempts at fighting and the crowds cheering, and there was a lot of emotion (including ripped up jerseys thrown on the ice) over Hently.

Thanks again,
Stephanie

Stephanie Cochrane

Senior Policy Advisor | Conseiller principal en politiques

Intelligence Policy Division | Direction des politiques du renseignement

National Security Policy Directorate | Direction générale des politiques de la sécurité nationale

Public Safety Canada | Sécurité publique Canada

Tel: | Tél: (613) 991-1610

Fax: | Téléc: (613) 991928

From: [mailto: @cse-cst.gc.ca]

Sent: Friday, December 03, 2010 9:04 AM

To: Cochrane, Stephanie

Subject: Keyterm List

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Hi Stephanie

Just fyi as I believe you'd already left - Liam, Jessica and I met with the two ladies in charge of the keyterm lists - they were very accommodating and accepted all our suggestions. The plan is to move from separate lists broken down by etc, to one master list (makes perfect sense). I don't have any feedback yet from the DMs discussion yesterday afternoon.

BTW, how did you enjoy the hockey game? Was thinking that it might have been as enjoyable as being locked up in a holding pen (lol). Cheers.

A/Manager

Internal
External

08/05/2012

A0350698_1-000007

UNCLASSIFIED

Plamondon, Jean J

From: Simpson, Adrian C
Sent: 2 décembre 2010 11:53
To: Plamondon, Jean J;
Subject: WikiLeaks Warning

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

From today's Ottawa Citizen: Steer clear of documents, Defence staff warned

<http://library.cse-cst.gc.ca/newspaper/1012/101202/otct/101202an.htm>

Adrian

Adrian C. Simpson

Senior Communications Advisor
Media Relations/Public Affairs
Green Black 613-991-7248

Conseiller principal en matière de communications
Relations avec les médias/affaires publiques
Vert: Noir 613-991-7248

UNCLASSIFIED

1

UNCLASSIFIED//CSE OFFICIAL USE ONLY

From:
Sent: December 2, 2010 8:54 AM
To:
Cc:
Subject: RE: My Contact Points for Thursday 2 December 2010

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//CSE OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Okay, thank you

Best Regards,

From:
Sent: December 2, 2010 8:37 AM
To:
Cc:
Subject: RE: My Contact Points for Thursday 2 December 2010

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//CSE OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Hi. if you do end up with another CD for today, I was told that this would be the best contact point for you:

613-944-0996
Wikileakstaskforce@international.gc.ca

They're the logistical folks; but since has his cell phone with him, might be easiest just to ping him.

Sounds pretty chaotic so far ...

From:
Sent: December 1, 2010 6:36 PM
To:
Subject: RE: My Contact Points for Thursday 2 December 2010

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Okay, will do. I'll see you in twelve hours.

Best Regards,

Acting Team Leader

CSEC

Green:
Black:

From:
Sent: December 1, 2010 6:30 PM
To:

UNCLASSIFIED//CSE OFFICIAL USE ONLY

s.15(1)

s.19(1)

UNCLASSIFIED//CSE OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Subject: My Contact Points for Thursday 2 December 2010

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

If I need to be reached tomorrow at DFAIT, my cell number is
fail, call Shawn Barber (Ops Centre Manager) at 613-618-4662.

Should for some reason my cell

I will be in the Operations Centre (Tower A - A2-217), 125 Sussex Drive.

- DFAIT has been notified that someone might deliver an unclassified CD to me. I will arrange to meet you
or whomever at the Front Desk. If a CD is to be delivered, pls let know (.cc).

A/Manager

Internal .
External

UNCLASSIFIED//CSE OFFICIAL USE ONLY

From:
Sent: December 1, 2010 8:20 AM
To:
Cc: Bruce, Shelly D
Subject: FW: WL downloads

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL

Here is the latest.

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: December 1, 2010 8:18 AM
To:
Subject: RE: WL downloads

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

(I covered this in person, but here's the email I was writing anyway)

sent the example files to us just after 2PM yesterday. There are around 450 cables in this batch.

Using the examples, has been building a simple viewer to interact with the files. Assuming the volume and formatting are reasonable, we should be in good shape.

Once the viewer is complete and the files of interest are posted on WikiLeaks and obtained by , we'll send them to DGPC

The current batch arrived so I've asked how they'll get us the next batch.

Cheers,

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: December 1, 2010 8:03 AM
To:
Subject: FW: WL downloads

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Any word on progress?

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: November 30, 2010 5:08 PM
To:
Subject: FW: WL downloads

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Remind me where we are on this?

s.15(1)

-----Original Message-----

From: Bruce, Shelly D
Sent: November 30, 2010 4:59 PM
To:
Cc:
Subject: FW: WL downloads

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

FYI. As you're doing the formatting...

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: November 30, 2010 1:06 PM
To: Thompson, Kathleen M (Kathy);
Cc: ; Bruce, Shelly D
Subject: RE: WL downloads

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Kathy, will be our primary rep; I've called his name in to Shawn, who apparently doesn't have a account. As well, he took my name as a back-up in case I need to spell off later in the day. The war room apparently starts at 08:00 and has no end time - it could stretch into the evening hours.

, the Chief or maybe Shelly will be receiving a letter from Gerard Cossette today, which will be the official invite requiring response. There's likely to be another POC in his office that'll need the names. (Shawn accepted them as an interim measure, but he's not handling that aspect of logistics.)

>Director,

CSEC-CSTC
External:
Internal:

-----Original Message-----

From: Thompson, Kathleen M (Kathy)
Sent: November 30, 2010 12:46 PM
To:
Cc:
Subject: FW: WL downloads

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Pls confirm the POC with Shawn and copy me, and Shelly. Thanks

Kathy Thompson
Director General, Policy and Communications La directrice générale, Politiques et communications
998-2595
!

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: November 30, 2010 8:29 AM
To: Thompson, Kathleen M (Kathy)
Subject: RE: WL downloads

s.16(2)(c)

s.19(1)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

No so far today... Shelly's note and my remarks to you have pretty much covered it off. The contact at DFAIT is Shawn Barber at . He is the manager of the "war Room" in Tower A, 2nd floor. Whoever goes should take a taxi there and call Shawn from the front desk. They will send someone down to let him/her in.

-----Original Message-----

From: Thompson, Kathleen M (Kathy)
Sent: November 30, 2010 8:26 AM
To:
Subject: FW: WL downloads

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Any more info on requirements. I already spoke to last nite.

Kathy Thompson
Director General, Policy and Communications La directrice générale, Politiques et communications
998-2595

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: November 30, 2010 8:00 AM
To: Bruce, Shelly D;
; Thompson, Kathleen M (Kathy)
Cc:]
Subject: RE: WL downloads

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

is ready to download the Canadian-related documents when they are available and will coordinate passing them to the for further processing.

Regards,

Director,
Directrice,

Secure/vert: Non-Secure/noir:
@cse-cst.gc.ca

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: November 29, 2010 5:45 PM
To: Bruce, Shelly D;
Thompson, Kathleen M (Kathy)
Cc:
Subject: RE: WL downloads

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Shelly,

Already spoke to Kathy about the DGPC representation at the DFAIT "War Room". This is in

hand.

s.15(1)

-----Original Message-----

From: Bruce, Shelly D
Sent: November 29, 2010 4:58 PM
To:
Thompson, Kathleen M (Kathv)
Cc:
Subject: WL downloads

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

All,

There was a telephone call among key DMS today. Chief and DFAIT/Rosenberg agreed that while the flow of WL documents posted to the site was still a trickle, DFAIT would be the lead in downloading and going through them for Canadian content. However, they expect a significant number of new documents (a few thousand) to be loaded up related to Canada Wednesday of this week. Chief committed that CSE would download and index these for DFAIT war room. Also CSE is expected to send a rep to DFAIT on Thurs to go through docs as part of a team. Chief indicated that this would be someone from DGPC.

So, we have two actions:

1. : Download, index to allow keyword searching and provide copy to DFAIT (Contact is roxanne.dube@international.gc.ca or shawn.barber@international.gc.ca for any technical specifications/requirements.)
2. DGPC: identify candidate for WL war room on Thurs

Shelly

s.16(2)(c)
s.21(1)(a)
s.21(1)(b)

From:
Sent: December 1, 2010 10:16 AM
To:
Cc:
Subject: RE: Access to downloaded/indexed material (FW: WL downloads)

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//Canadian Eyes Only

If it may help, here are some suggestions. They include keywords that submitted for SIGINT's searches of the initial Wikileaks disclosure, as well as search terms for the current batch.

Canadian Security Establishment
COMINT
Communications Intelligence
Communications Security Establishment
Communications Security Establishment Canada CSE CSEC

There could be more, but this should be a good baseline. I will be in touch with

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: December 1, 2010 8:14 AM
To:
Cc:
T;
Subject: RE: Access to downloaded/indexed material (FW: WL downloads)

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//Canadian Eyes Only

, concur with It is still early to predict/understand

in the is coordinating the formatting response, and he can keep you in the loop as we make progress.

I guess the question to you and the larger group is what you intend to do with the docs? Do you have a predetermined list of keywords you want to search for

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: November 30, 2010 4:40 PM
To:
Cc:
Subject: RE: Access to downloaded/indexed material (FW: WL downloads)

s.16(2)(c)

s.19(1)

To: Thompson, Kathleen M (Kathy);
Cc: Bruce, Shelly D
Subject: RE: WL downloads

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Kathy, will be our primary rep; I've called his name in to Shawn, who apparently doesn't have a account. As well, he took my name as a back-up in case I need to spell off later in the day. The war room apparently starts at 08:00 and has no end time - it could stretch into the evening hours.

the Chief or maybe Shelly will be receiving a letter from Gerard Cossette today, which will be the official invite requiring response. There's likely to be another PoC in his office that'll need the names. (Shawn accepted them as an interim measure, but he's not handling that aspect of logistics.)

>Director, La directrice,

CSEC-CSTC
External:
Internal:

-----Original Message-----

From: Thompson, Kathleen M (Kathy)
Sent: November 30, 2010 12:46 PM
To:
Cc:
Subject: FW: WL downloads

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Pls confirm the POC with Shawn and copy me, and Shelly. Thanks

Kathy Thompson
Director General, Policy and Communications La directrice générale, Politiques et communications
998-2595

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: November 30, 2010 8:29 AM
To: Thompson, Kathleen M (Kathy)
Subject: RE: WL downloads

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

No so far today... Shelly's note and my remarks to you have pretty much covered it off. The contact at DFAIT is Shawn Barber at He is the manager of the "war Room" in Tower A, 2nd floor. Whoever goes should take a taxi there and call Shawn from the front desk. They will send someone down to let him/her in.

-----Original Message-----

From: Thompson, Kathleen M (Kathy)
Sent: November 30, 2010 8:26 AM
To:
Subject: FW: WL downloads

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

s.15(1)
s.16(2)(c)

Any more info on requirements. I already spoke to last nite.

Kathy Thompson
Director General, Policy and Communications La directrice générale, Politiques et
communications
998-2595

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: November 30, 2010 8:00 AM
To: K; Bruce, Shelly D;
; Thompson, Kathleen M (Kathy)
Cc:
Subject: RE: WL downloads

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

is ready to download the Canadian-related documents when they are available and
will coordinate passing them to the for further processing.

Regards,

Director, -
Directrice,

Secure/vert: Non-Secure/noir:
@cse-cst.gc.ca

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: November 29, 2010 5:45 PM
To: Bruce, Shelly D;
; Thompson, Kathleen M (Kathy)
Cc:
Subject: RE: WL downloads

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Shelly,

Already spoke to Kathy about the DGPC representation at the DFAIT "War Room". This is in
hand.

-----Original Message-----

From: Bruce, Shelly D
Sent: November 29, 2010 4:58 PM
To:
Thompson, Kathleen M (Kathy)
Cc:
Subject: WL downloads

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

All,

There was a telephone call among key DMS today. Chief and DFAIT/Rosenberg agreed that while the flow of WL documents posted to the site was still a trickle, DFAIT would be the lead in downloading and going through them for Canadian content. However, they expect a significant number of new documents (a few thousand) to be loaded up related to Canada Wednesday of this week. Chief committed that CSE would download and index these for DFAIT war room. Also CSE is expected to send a rep to DFAIT on Thurs to go through docs as part of a team. Chief indicated that this would be someone from DGPC.

So, we have two actions:

1. Download, index to allow keyword searching and provide copy to DFAIT (Contact is roxanne.dube@international.gc.ca or shawn.barber@international.gc.ca for any technical specifications/requirements.)
2. DGPC: identify candidate for WL war room on Thurs

Shelly

Look for Classification Marking in Message Body

From: s.15(1)
Sent: December 1, 2010 2:25 PM s.16(2)(c)
To: Thompson, Kathleen M (Kathy); s.21(1)(a)
Cc: s.21(1)(a)
Subject: FW: download, as requested s.21(1)(b)
Importance: High

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT

Kathy/

No need for you to go through the thread, but the upshot is that we are not able to download the documents (at least for now) as planned (I just confirmed with [redacted]). I will keep you apprised as to how this could affect the meeting at DFAIT tomorrow (as you can see, [redacted] is in the loop, so I assume he would advise DFAIT seniors).

From:
Sent: December 1, 2010 2:15 PM
To:
Cc:
Subject: FW: download, as requested

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT

FYI....

From: Simmonds, Gregory N
Sent: December 1, 2010 2:13 PM
To: Meloney, Daniel W (Dan)
Subject: FW: download, as requested

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT

FYI.

Manager

Green:
From

"The nice part about being a pessimist is that you are constantly being either proven right or pleasantly surprised."
--George Will

From:
Sent: December 1, 2010 1:37 PM
To:
Cc:
Subject: RE: download, as requested

Look for Classification Marking in Message Body

Look for Classification Marking in Message Body

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT

Okay, thank you for the update.

Cheers,

s.15(1)
s.16(2)(c)
s.21(1)(a)
s.21(1)(b)

From:
Sent: December 1, 2010 1:35 PM
To:
Cc:
Subject: RE: download, as requested

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT

I just tried to download the updated files

I will attempt again a little later today.

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: December 1, 2010 1:27 PM
To:
Cc:
Subject: RE: download, as requested

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT

Thank you, We'll prepare accordingly and be ready to move when has received the latest

Cheers,

From:
Sent: December 1, 2010 12:33 PM
To:
Cc:
Subject: RE: download, as requested

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT

I believe you have received a response on this from We will provide it to you with a classification of UNCLASS on is my understanding.

From:
Sent: December 1, 2010 8:06 AM

Look for Classification Marking in Message Body

2

Look for Classification Marking in Message Body

To:
Cc:
Subject: RE: download, as requested

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT

Assuming the WikiLeaks articles pertaining to Canada go live today, will it be possible for to get it

Thanks,

s.15(1)
s.16(2)(c)
s.21(1)(a)
s.21(1)(b)

From:
Sent: November 30, 2010 2:27 PM
To:
Cc:
Subject: RE: download, as requested

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT

Great, thank you and

Acting Team Leader
CSEC
Green:
Black: !

From:
Sent: November 30, 2010 2:15 PM
To:
Cc:
Subject: download, as requested

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT

Here are the downloads that we have.
All the content is in HTML format and looks clean.

<< File: wikileaks.zip >>

the files under "downloads" directory

Analyst
Green:
Black:

Look for Classification Marking in Message Body

CONFIDENTIAL

From: Thompson, Kathleen M (Kathy) s.15(1)
Sent: December 1, 2010 5:12 PM s.16(2)(c)
To: s.21(1)(a)
Cc: s.21(1)(a)
Subject: RE: Wikileaks (SITREP as of 1650L) s.21(1)(b)

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL

Noted.

Kathy Thompson
Director General, Policy and Communications
La directrice générale, Politiques et communications
998-2595

From:
Sent: December 1, 2010 4:55 PM
To: Thompson, Kathleen M (Kathy); I
Cc:
Subject: Wikileaks (SITREP as of 1650L)

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL

just informed that it has downloaded 505 cables - DFAIT said 486 cables in its email on SABRE that was sent earlier. Media reports indicate roughly 2000 Canada-related cables among the total release (250,000).

also briefed on the number. will talk to Shelly (she is in a meeting until 1700).

will give me the CD early tomorrow (it will be UNCLASSIFIED). Talked to him about delivering a new CD to me at DFAIT if gets more documents during the day.

A/Manager

Internal
External

CONFIDENTIAL

1

A0350707_1-000023

s.16(2)(c)

s.19(1)

PROTECTED B

From:
Sent: December 1, 2010 4:57 PM
To:
Subject:

Classification: PROTECTED B

Don't know though if DFAIT necessarily needs this if all he's doing is
delivering a CD.

A/Manager

Internal
External

PROTECTED B

Message

Page 1 of 4

s.15(1)

From: Thompson, Kathy M
Sent: December 1, 2010 3:32 PM
To:
Cc:
Subject: FW: Wikileaks -- Update Decembre 1st / Le point, 1er decembre
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

there's an email address below to confirm as the rep and provide contact info so he can get the invite. Pls action.

-----Original Message-----

From: Nolan, Corinne M.
Sent: December 1, 2010 3:16 PM
To: Thompson, Kathy M
Cc:
Subject: FW: Wikileaks -- Update Decembre 1st / Le point, 1er decembre

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Kathy ... ref your conversation with Please see the request at item #2 to provide the name of the CSEC rep. We confirmed with DFAIT that no meeting time has been set yet but that they are working on one and it may take place as early as tomorrow.

Corinne Nolan
Supervisor, Executive Office / Superviseure, Bureau du chef
613-991-7241

-----Original Message-----

From: AndreFrancois.Giroux@International.gc.ca [mailto:AndreFrancois.Giroux@international.gc.ca] **On Behalf Of** Morris.Rosenberg@international.gc.ca
Sent: December 1, 2010 2:55 PM
To: Patricia.Hassard@pco-bcp.gc.ca; william.baker@ps.gc.ca; graham.flack@ps.gc.ca; yaprak.baltacioglu@tc.gc.ca; anita.biguzs@tc.gc.ca; john.forster@inf.gc.ca; margaret.biggs@acdi-cida.gc.ca; david.moloney@acdi-cida.gc.ca; paul.booth@ec.gc.ca; bob.hamilton@ec.gc.ca; david_butler-jones@phac-aspc.gc.ca; mary_chaput@phac-aspc.gc.ca; michelle.dauray@tbs-sct.gc.ca; daphne.meredith@tbs-sct.gc.ca; james.ralston@tbs-sct.gc.ca; alister.smith@tbs-sct.gc.ca; claire.dansereau@dfo-mpo.gc.ca; marc.gregoire@dfo-mpo.gc.ca; richard.dicerni@ic.gc.ca; simon.kennedy@ic.gc.ca; malcolm.brown@nrcan-rncan.gc.ca; robert.fonberg@forces.gc.ca; Adams, John L.; headda@csc-scc.gc.ca; micnael.norgan@fin.gc.ca; paul.rochon@fin.gc.ca; myles.kirvan@justice.gc.ca; yves.cote@justice.gc.ca; john.knubley@agr.gc.ca; andrea.lyon@agr.gc.ca; stephen.wallace@pch.gc.ca; linda.lizotte-macpherson@cra-arc.gc.ca; lyse.ricard@cra-arc.gc.ca; guy.mckenzie@csps-efpc.gc.ca; stephen.rigby@cbsa-asfc.gc.ca; luc.portelance@cbsa-asfc.gc.ca; Morris.Rosenberg@international.gc.ca; Louis.Levesque@international.gc.ca; Gerald.Cossette@international.gc.ca; ian.shugart@hrsd-rhdcc.gc.ca; gosselin.helene@labour-travail.gc.ca; liseanne.forand@hrsd-rhdcc.gc.ca; karen.jackson@hrsd-rhdcc.gc.ca; wayne.smith@statcan.gc.ca; carole.swan@inspection.gc.ca;

A0350709_1-000025

Message

Page 2 of 4

george.dapont@inspection.gc.ca; brian.evans@inspection.gc.ca; suzanne.tining@vac-acc.gc.ca; suzanne.vinet@dec-ced.gc.ca; daniel.watson@wd-deo.gc.ca; wernickm@ainc-inac.gc.ca; swordsc@ainc-inac.gc.ca; Marie-Lucie.Morin@pco-bcp.gc.ca; Greta.Bossenmaier@pco-bcp.gc.ca; Claude.Carriere@pco-bcp.gc.ca; susan.cartwright@tbs-sct.gc.ca; Janice.Charette@pco-bcp.gc.ca; Ward.Elcock@pco-bcp.gc.ca; Michael.Martin@pco-bcp.gc.ca; William.Pentney@pco-bcp.gc.ca; Yvan.Roy@pco-bcp.gc.ca; glenda.yeates@hc-sc.gc.ca; anne-marie.robinson@hc-sc.gc.ca; nell.yeates@clc.gc.ca; Cassie.Doyle@pco-bcp.gc.ca; Matthew.King4@forces.gc.ca; Patricia.Hassard@pco-bcp.gc.ca

Cc: Morris.Rosenberg@international.gc.ca; Louis.Levesque@international.gc.ca; Gerald.Cossette@international.gc.ca; Sebastien.Beaulieu@international.gc.ca; AndreFrancois.Giroux@international.gc.ca; Arun.Alexander@international.gc.ca; Roxanne.Dube@international.gc.ca; Karen.MacArthur@international.gc.ca; Debora.Brown@international.gc.ca; Erin.O'Gorman@pco-bcp.gc.ca; re-wikileaks@international.gc.ca; Darcee.Munroe@international.gc.ca
Subject: Wikileaks -- Update Decembre 1st / Le point, 1er decembre

*** Sent on behalf of Morris Rosenberg; Louis Lévesque and Gérald Cossette. // Envoyé de la part de Morris Rosenberg; Louis Lévesque et Gérald Cossette. ***

[Le français suit.]

Dear Colleagues,

This message is further to our communication of November 25 (attached).

As you know, DFAIT has established a Task Force to assess implications for Canadian interests and to respond to requests. The Task Force is monitoring WIKILEAKS and analyzing the data uploaded to the site to determine its relevance to Canada. As a number of departments may be identified in the communications that WikiLeaks makes public in the coming days, we ask that you appoint a liaison officer in order to ensure that documents are properly distributed to officials your respective departments – more information in paragraph 2 below.

1. Some key findings thus far:

a) Presently, documents posted to WikiLeaks are being released a few at a time, rather than the complete anticipated collection of 250,000+ documents at once, as was expected. So far, some 486 documents have been uploaded. It is unclear at this time if this rate will continue.

b) From the information released thus far and from news reports, it is our understanding that several media organizations have arranged with WikiLeaks for privileged access to all the material. As such, many documents which relate to Canada could be released in quick succession and we could be faced with news reports using information in documents that have not yet been uploaded to the site.

c) Based on information that has been reported thus far, it is expected that some 2600 documents relate specifically to Canada. A large number of them may be released later this week, including around the time when the NY Times will reportedly feature commentary on reporting related to Canada (possibly Thursday, December 1).

2. Liaison Officers: Given that a large number of documents related to Canada could be released this week, we ask each department to appoint a liaison officer who can remain on call for contact as required by the Task Force. For those departments for which there is expected to be many documents released, liaison officers may be asked to be on-site at DFAIT as

09/05/2012

A0350709_2-000026

Message

Page 3 of 4

required. **We ask that you provide to wikileakstaskforce@international.gc.ca contact information for a liaison officer by COB Wednesday, December 1.** Liaison officers must have Secret clearance and may be required to analyze the document(s). They will also ensure that documents are properly distributed to officials in their department.

A separate message will be sent to your departments with more detailed information regarding this matter.

3. Government of Canada treatment of WikiLeaks documents: Please note that leaked U.S. documents remain as originally classified and should continue to be managed in that respect.

4. Guidance for Employees who have been named in Wikileaks leaked cables: It is anticipated that Canadian government employees will be referenced in the context of the leaked cables. DFAIT is developing a protocol to address situations where our employees are named in this context. It will include: contacting employees, support from human resources, media relations guidance, as well as considering personal and mission security implications. DFAIT will share this protocol with partner departments once finalized.

5. Metadata Analysis: Where the documents have been tagged with keywords, DFAIT has harvested tags of keywords of interest for all 250,000+ documents. We have compiled those and I will provide them to you. The information references dates and key issues and, to the extent that it will be helpful, may assist departments in developing a rapid response if and when the full documents are made public.

Morris, Louis and Gérald

Chers collègues,

Le présent message fait suite à notre communication du 25 novembre (ci-jointe).

Comme vous le savez, le MAECI a créé un groupe de travail pour évaluer les répercussions sur les intérêts canadiens et pour répondre aux demandes. Le groupe de travail surveille WIKILEAKS et analyse les données qui sont téléchargées sur le site pour déterminer ce qui est pertinent pour le Canada. Comme il se peut qu'un certain nombre de ministères soient nommés dans les communications que WikiLeaks rendra publiques dans les jours qui viennent, nous vous demandons de nommer un agent de liaison qui sera chargé de veiller à ce que les documents soient distribués aux fonctionnaires de vos ministères respectifs – des informations supplémentaires sont fournies au paragraphe 2 ci-dessous.

1. Principales constatations jusqu'à présent :

a) Pour le moment, les documents sont affichés sur le site de WikiLeaks au compte-gouttes, alors que l'on s'attendait à ce que tous les documents en question (plus de 250 000) le soient simultanément. Jusqu'à présent, quelque 486 documents ont été téléchargés. On ne sait pas pour le moment si la divulgation va se poursuivre à ce rythme.

b) D'après l'information publiée jusqu'à présent et les déclarations des médias, nous croyons savoir que plusieurs organes de presse se sont entendus avec WikiLeaks pour avoir un accès

09/05/2012

A0350709_3-000027

Message

Page 4 of 4

privilegié à l'ensemble des documents. Ainsi, d'une part, de nombreux documents concernant le Canada pourraient être publiés rapidement et, d'autre part, nous pourrions nous retrouver dans la situation où des articles ou des reportages traiteraient d'information tirée de documents n'ayant pas encore été téléchargés sur le site.

c) Selon l'information diffusée, on prévoit qu'il y aura quelque 2 600 documents concernant plus particulièrement le Canada. Beaucoup d'entre eux pourraient être publiés plus tard cette semaine, notamment au moment où le New York Times devrait publier un article à leur sujet (peut-être le jeudi 1er décembre).

2. Agents de liaison : Étant donné que de nombreux documents concernant le Canada pourraient être publiés cette semaine, nous demandons à chaque ministère de nommer un agent de liaison qui pourra être en attente afin que le groupe de travail puisse communiquer avec lui, selon les besoins. Dans le cas des ministères qui risquent d'être mentionnés dans un grand nombre des documents publiés, il se pourrait que l'on demande aux agents de liaison de travailler au MAECI, selon les besoins. Nous demandons à tous les ministères qu'ils fournissent à wikileakstaskforce@international.gc.ca les coordonnées d'un agent de liaison d'ici le mercredi 1er décembre, à la fermeture des bureaux. Les agents de liaison doivent avoir une cote de sécurité de niveau secret et ils pourraient avoir à analyser des documents. En outre, ils devront veiller à ce que les documents soient distribués aux fonctionnaires de leur ministère comme il convient.

Vos ministères recevront un autre message contenant des renseignements détaillés à ce sujet.

3. Traitement des documents de WikiLeaks par le gouvernement du Canada : Sachez que les documents américains diffusés conservent leur classification originale et qu'ils doivent être gérés en conséquence.

4. Consignes pour les employés qui ont été nommés dans les câbles diffusés de Wikileaks : On s'attend à ce que les employés du gouvernement du Canada soient mentionnés dans les câbles diffusés. Le MAECI élabore un protocole pour gérer les situations où nos employés sont nommés dans ce contexte. Il comprendra : la communication avec les employés, l'appui des ressources humaines, les directives concernant les relations avec les médias de même que l'examen des répercussions sur la sécurité des personnes et des missions. Le MAECI communiquera ce protocole aux ministères partenaires une fois qu'il aura été mis au point.

Morris, Louis et Gérald

09/05/2012

A0350709_4-000028



Communications Security
Establishment Canada

Centre de la sécurité
des télécommunications Canada

s.16(2)(c)
s.21(1)(a)
s.21(1)(b)



TOP SECRET//Canadian Eyes Only
CCSE/116-10
#659014

MEMORANDUM FOR MINISTER OF NATIONAL DEFENCE

WikiLeaks - Impact on the Communications Security Establishment Canada (CSEC)

(For Information)

Summary

- A leak of classified reports on cyber threats and other issues of significance to CSEC has occurred via Wikileaks.
- Preliminary analysis of information released to date has not revealed
- This assessment is however based on the current release of documents which – while significant - we assess as being potentially only a small percentage of the eventual full release of documents now occurring in batches by Wikileaks.
-
-

Background

- On 28 November, 2010, WikiLeaks.org, a web site that offers space for the anonymous publication of leaked government information, began posting over 200,000 documents
- The last WikiLeaks release of this nature occurred earlier this year, in July, with no impact on CSEC.

s.16(2)(c)

s.21(1)(a)

TOP SECRET//Canadian Eyes Only

s.21(1)(b)

Impact on CSEC

- A preliminary analysis by CSEC of the documents released to date suggest that

-

- CSEC is engaging proactively with its Government clients

-

-

-

Other Issues

- We understand that large volumes of data are still to be released.

- In this first release, a number of the leaked documents

-

-

Next Steps

- CSEC is actively participating in the broader Canadian security and intelligence effort on this issue, coordinated by the National Security Advisor, to further assess impact as more documents become available.

- CSEC will continue to review material as it becomes available, and remains poised to share its analysis and technical expertise with domestic and international partners.

- In addition, CSEC to mitigate impact to Canadian interests.

- s.15(1)
- s.16(2)(c)
- s.21(1)(a)
- s.21(1)(b)

TOP SECRET//Canadian Eyes Only

- CSEC is also trend analysis of the released documents as required. to conduct impact and
- While CSEC is confident of its current assessment of these leaks, if any issue of serious impact is uncovered through its ongoing assessment, CSEC will inform you immediately.

John Adams
Chief

cc. Stephen Rigby
National Security Advisor
Privy Council Office

Robert Fonberg
Deputy Minister
National Defence

s.16(2)(c)
s.21(1)(a) Page 1 of 4
s.21(1)(b)

From:
Sent: November 30, 2010 11:35 AM
To:
Subject: RE: WikiLeaks
Classification: *TOP SECRET//COMINT*

good stuff, thanks !

From:
Sent: November 30, 2010 11:34 AM
To:
Cc:
Subject: RE: WikiLeaks

Classification: *TOP SECRET//COMINT*

Tks - better.. and sent. Many thanks.

From:
Sent: November 30, 2010 10:39 AM
To:
Cc:
Subject: RE: WikiLeaks

Classification: *TOP SECRET//COMINT*

My suggestion:

Thanks for your question - your approach is in the right direction, however, we would like to recommend the following:

- Manager, r

02/09/2011

A0350711_1-000032

- Gestionnaire,
Gr: bl:

s.15(1)
s.16(2)(c)
s.21(1)(a)
s.21(1)(b)

From:
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2010 8:32 AM
To:
Subject: FW: WikiLeaks
Importance: High

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT

Hi

Do you concur with this response?

Tks for your question - your methodology appears to be sufficient however, we recommend

From: Eggett, David [mailto:eggettd@fac-aec.gc.ca]
Sent: November 30, 2010 8:06 AM
To:
Cc: C
Subject: RE: WikiLeaks

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT

It could be, but we have a regime in place that would only use on a classified system – the isolated network would be considered such....

David Eggett
Director Information and Technical Security Division
Foreign Affairs and International Trade Canada

From:
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2010 4:28 PM
To:
Cc:
Subject: RE: WikiLeaks

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT

Hi David,

Just for clarification,

02/09/2011

A0350711_2-000033

Page 3 of 4

s.15(1)
s.16(2)(c)
s.21(1)(a)
s.21(1)(b)

Client Relationship Agent - Cyber Operation Support
Cyber Threat Evaluation Centre (CTEC)

From: Eggett, David [mailto:eggettd@fac-aec.gc.ca]
Sent: November 29, 2010 11:30 AM
To:
Cc:
Subject: RE: WIKILEAKS

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT

Thanks.

The process that we are taking is as follows.

Does this operational process make sense from your end? Any other suggestions?

David

David Eggett
Director Information and Technical Security Division
Foreign Affairs and International Trade Canada

From:
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2010 11:13 AM
To: Eggett, David
Cc:
Subject: WikiLeaks

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT

02/09/2011

A0350711_3-000034

Page 4 of 4

David,

As discussed (very vaguely),

s.15(1)
s.16(2)(c)
s.21(1)(a)
s.21(1)(b)

We highly recommend that the threat be taken seriously:
measures you have taken so far seem reasonable

The

02/09/2011

A0350711_4-000035

s.15(1)
s.16(2)(c)
s.19(1)
s.21(1)(a)
s.21(1)(b)

TOP SECRET//COMINT

From:
Sent: November 30, 2010 8:29 AM
To:
Subject: FW: Heads-up DFAIT and wikileaks
Importance: High

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT

Hi [redacted] will be contacting (or may have already) [redacted] or you to discuss the response necessary here to DFAIT. Is this something that should figure into that exchange?

thanks for giving this attention -- I'm being asked about the followup that has happened, or will....

From:
Sent: November 29, 2010 3:11 PM
To:
Cc:
Subject: RE: Heads-up DFAIT and wikileaks

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT

I would be worried about there being too many docs. There are!

From:
Sent: November 29, 2010 11:54 AM
To:
Cc:
Subject: Heads-up DFAIT and wikileaks
Importance: High

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT

David E called me. He wanted to know if the information about wikileaks and sent the email below. They may be contacting us for help [redacted], but Dave E is worried

I said yes,

From:
Sent: November 29, 2010 11:13 AM
To: Eggert, David (FAC)
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: WikiLeaks

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT

David,

As discussed (very vaguely),

We've

TOP SECRET//COMINT

s.15(1)
s.16(2)(c)
s.21(1)(a)
s.21(1)(b)

We highly recommend that the threat be taken seriously
measures you have taken so far seem reasonable

The

TOP SECRET//COMINT

UNCLASSIFIED

From:
Sent: November 30, 2010 2:12 PM
To: staff
Subject: Me Thursday

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Have just learned that I need to be at DFAIT for 0800 Thurs. I have no idea how long I will be there - could be an hour, could be until the wee hours. We'll just play it by ear.

Guys, the gals will be keeping an eye on you, so no lolly-gagging at the water cooler. Nor will they put with dissension in the ranks ...

A/Manager


Internal
External

UNCLASSIFIED

1

A0350713_1-000038

s.15(1)
s.16(2)(c)
s.21(1)(a)
s.21(1)(b)

 Communications Security Establishment Canada
Centre de la sécurité des télécommunications Canada



TOP SECRET//Canadian Eyes Only
Cerrid #659014

MEMORANDUM FOR MINISTER OF NATIONAL DEFENCE

**Recent WikiLeaks Publications and
Impact on the Communications Security Establishment Canada (CSEC)**

(For Information)

Summary

- A leak of classified reports on cyber threats and other issues of significance to CSEC has occurred via Wikileaks.
- Analysis of information released to date has not revealed
-
-

Background

- On 28 November, 2010, WikiLeaks.org, a web site that offers space for the anonymous publication of leaked government information, began posting over 200,000 documents
- The last WikiLeaks release of this nature occurred earlier this year, in July, with no impact on CSEC.

Impact on CSEC

- A concerted analysis by CSEC to examine released information suggests that
-

CSEC is engaging proactively with its Government clients

s.15(1)
s.16(2)(c)
s.21(1)(a)
s.21(1)(b)

- In addition, CSEC Canadian interests. to mitigate impact to
- CSEC is trend analysis of the released documents and as required. to conduct impact and
- If any issue of serious impact to CSEC is uncovered through the assessment of leaked information, CSEC will inform you immediately.

John Adams
Chief, CSEC

s.15(1)
s.16(2)(c)
s.21(1)(a)
s.21(1)(b)

From:
Sent: November 29, 2010 8:48 AM
To:
Cc:
Subject: RE: Wikileaks

Classification: *TOP SECRET//COMINT//Canadian Eyes Only*

Not sure how it has played out at those levels.

I'm sure it will come up at standup.

From:
Sent: November 29, 2010 8:46 AM
To:
Cc:
Subject: RE: Wikileaks

Classification: *TOP SECRET//COMINT//Canadian Eyes Only*

Manager

Green:
From

"The nice part about being a pessimist is that you are constantly being either proven right or pleasantly surprised."
--George Will

From:
Sent: November 29, 2010 8:42 AM
To:
Subject: RE: Wikileaks

Classification: *SECRET//Canadian Eyes Only*

I think it will be a lot of ad-hoc guesswork, but I can think of things like

From:
Sent: November 29, 2010 8:41 AM
To: Maloney, Daniel W (Dan); Begley, Jeffrey T
Subject: RE: Wikileaks

s.15(1) ,
s.16(2)(c)

Classification: SECRET//Canadian Eyes Only

By the way, what are your specific requirements, please, for our search?
But what else are you looking for, exactly? We can go with best guesses on a bunch, but it would be good to have some guidance as well...

Manager

Green:
From

"The nice part about being a pessimist is that you are constantly being either proven right or pleasantly surprised."
--George Will

From:
Sent: November 29, 2010 8:36 AM
To:
Cc:
Subject: RE: Wikileaks

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Thanks

Could you please forward to ,

when available?

People: Wikileaks stuff to load into for quick searching.

Cheers,

From:
Sent: November 29, 2010 8:17 AM
To:
Cc:
Subject: FW: Wikileaks

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

We were able to download the documents this morning. I have asked that they be checked to ensure they are clean and we will have a DVD/BluRay to you shortly.

From:
Sent: November 29, 2010 6:27 AM
To:
Cc:
Subject: Wikileaks

s.15(1)

Hi -

We downloaded the wiki leaks documents this morning.

Cheers,

s.15(1)
s.16(2)(c)

From:
Sent: November 29, 2010 5:18 PM
To: Bruce, Shelly D
Subject: FW: RE: Wikileaks

s.21(1)(a)
s.21(1)(b)

Classification: Top Secret//Canadian Eyes Only

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: November 29, 2010 5:09 PM
To:
Subject: RE: RE: Wikileaks

Classification: Top Secret//Canadian Eyes Only Classification : Très secret//Réservé aux
Canadiens Not for PA / Ne pas classer

Hi

Thanks very much for this information
I will give you a call tomorrow.

>>> @cse-cst.gc.ca> 11/29/2010 4:56 pm >>>
Classification: Top Secret//Canadian Eyes Only

Classification: Top Secret//Canadian Eyes Only

That is indeed my number and I'd be happy to chat.

By way of background:

Regards,

Director
Green Black

DG,

s.15(1)

s.16(2)(c)

*****[INFO DEPOT END / FIN INFO DÉPÔT]*****

s.15(1)

From: s.15(1)
Sent: November 29, 2010 12:40 PM s.16(2)(c)
To: s.21(1)(a)
Cc: s.21(1)(b)

Subject: RE: WikiLeaks

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT

We've got it - will confer after lunch and she will contact David's office to advise accordingly.

From:
Sent: November 29, 2010 11:58 AM
To:
Cc:

Subject: FW: WikiLeaks

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT

I don't know who is around right now... so cc'ed several people.

please consider email below from DFAIT as official request for support, and coordinate with technical staff.

From: Eggett, David [mailto:eggettd@fac-aec.gc.ca]
Sent: November 29, 2010 11:30 AM
To:
Cc: ()
Subject: RE: WikiLeaks

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT

Thanks.

The process that we are taking is as follows.

02/09/2011

A0350718_1-000049

Page 2 of 2

s.15(1)
s.16(2)(c)
s.21(1)(a)
s.21(1)(b)

Does this operational process make sense from your end? Any other suggestions?

David

David Eggett
Director Information and Technical Security Division
Foreign Affairs and International Trade Canada

From: I [redacted]@cse-cst.gc.ca]
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2010 11:13 AM
To: Eggett, David
Cc:
Subject: WikiLeaks

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT

David,

As discussed (very vaguely),

We highly recommend that the threat be taken seriously
measures you have taken so far seem reasonable

The

02/09/2011

A0350718_2-000050

s.15(1)

s.21(1)(a)

Top Secret

s.21(1)(b)

From:
Sent: November 29, 2010 10:39 AM
To:
Subject: RE: CBSA contact
Attachments: CERRID-#658421-v1-Core_Departments_-_WikiLeaks_-_Call_List(3).DOC

Classification: Top Secret



CERRID-#658421-v
1-Core_Departm...

Yes I called him....he's been added to the list managed by I later last week...
I'm not going to be able to chat for a bit, sent to find out a couple of things by and we're reconvening now before
lunch....when I'm back later this aft?

From:
Sent: November 29, 2010 9:54 AM
To:
Cc:
Subject: RE: CBSA contact

Classification: Top Secret

Tks .

Please see original request below from CSWG. Group rep . Is this loop closed?

Best,

From:
Sent: November 25, 2010 4:17 PM
To:
Cc:
Subject: RE: CBSA contact

Classification: Top Secret

Thanks everyone for floating along these past few days effectively managing all the business knowing I've been sidelined
and not hearing much from me! Re the Wiki mentions in the press,

For your info,

thanks for your support~
cheers,

Top Secret

1

A0350719_1-000051

Top Secret

From:
Sent: November 25, 2010 11:31 AM
To:
Subject: FW: CBSA contact

s.15(1)
s.16(2)(c)
s.21(1)(a)
s.21(1)(b)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Good day CRA's,

If anyone gets calls from our stakeholders, please forward requests to [redacted] and me and refrain from commenting at this time. I would just thank them for their call and advise that you will look into it.

Cheers,

A/Supervisor,

Secure: | BB on SABRE: [redacted] @cse-cst.gc.ca

ITS

From:
Sent: November 25, 2010 10:16 AM
To:
Subject: RE: CBSA contact

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Thanks [redacted] I'll check with [redacted] and someone will get back to him shortly.

Senior ITS Client Services Officer

From:
Sent: Thursday, November 25, 2010 10:14 AM
To:
Subject: CBSA contact

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Could somebody contact Pierre Rivard at CBSA (613-848-9355) he was advised that CSEC has concerns Wikileaks sites and advised GC not to connect to any of those sites. I assumed that would be your area. He is waiting for your call.
Merci

COMSEC Client Services Supervisor
Communications Security Establishment Canada.....

**Pages 53 to / à 54
are withheld pursuant to sections
sont retenues en vertu des articles**

15(1), 16(2)(c)

of the Access to Information

**de la Loi sur l'accès à l'information
Loi sur l'accès à l'information**

CONFIDENTIAL

s.15(1)
s.16(2)(c)
s.19(1)
s.21(1)(a)
s.21(1)(b)

From:
Sent: November 26, 2010 9:14 AM
To:
Subject: RE: Update on contacted entities

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL

Thanks -- could you please give me an update by 2 today of who additionally has been reached? Also, with would you ask please to compile a gist of the last few days' worth of client outreach that has taken place on their side. I'll need to provide it for the 330 today. I haven't had feedback on the bnote yet but am trying to get that now....stay tuned!

p.s. I only saw the note when a slew of emails came into my bb (an ongoing issue).

From:
Sent: November 25, 2010 4:04 PM
To:
Subject: Update on contacted entities

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL

<< File: CERRID-#658421-v1-Core_Departments_-_WikiLeaks_-_Call_List.DOC >>
Attached is a list with key departments identified to date and points of contact, as well as status.

The list will continue to grow. Please advise if there are any additional departments you would like to have added to the list. The attached list includes our standing stakeholders and recent/regular cyber incident departments..

I think I am still missing a few, but will provide a more up to date list tomorrow.

Cheers,

P.S.: As I was typing this email, DFAIT called back.

A/Team Leader,

Internal: NSTS: :xternal:

ITS

BB on SABRE:

CONFIDENTIAL

1

TOP SECRET//COMINT//Canadian Eyes Only

Plamondon, Jean J

From: Thompson, Kathleen M (Kathy)
Sent: 26 novembre 2010 08:14
To: Plamondon, Jean J; Simpson, Adrian C
Subject: Wikileaks - Initial Assessment - Update

Importance: High

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//Canadian Eyes Only

Not for further distribution, pls.

SIGINT and ITS have completed an initial assessment of the Wikileaks release of reports that have been identified by Of those, were identified as related to released, primarily due to duplication. impact of the pending as having a potential impact and were subsequently

Initial Impact Assessment

- Near-term:

- Mid/long term:

Posture

- While ITS is conducting further analysis on the reports,

Kathy

TOP SECRET//COMINT//Canadian Eyes Only

Plamondon, Jean J

From: s.15(1)
Sent: 25 novembre 2010 17:37 s.16(2)(c)
To: Plamondon, Jean J
Subject: FW: Wikileaks - Initial Assessment - Update s.21(1)(a)
Importance: High s.21(1)(b)

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//Canadian Eyes Only

To note.....

From:
Sent: November 25, 2010 5:35 PM
To: Moffa, Antonietta (Toni); Bruce, Shelly D; Adams, John L;
Cc: Thompson, Kathleen M (Kathy);
Subject: FW: Wikileaks - Initial Assessment - Update
Importance: High

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//Canadian Eyes Only

An initial assessment of the

From:
Sent: November 25, 2010 2:22 PM
To:
Subject: Wikileaks - Initial Assessment - Update
Importance: High

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//Canadian Eyes Only

has undertaken an initial damage assessment that could stem from the forthcoming Wikileaks disclosure of reports. has confirmed that reports have been identified by as having a potential impact Of those, were identified as related to and were subsequently released, primarily due to duplication. stakeholders and ITS have been consulted.

It should be noted that is an umbrella cover term for all activity. Two other cover terms are used, and which represent separate operators.

Initial Impact Assessment

- Near-term:

- Mid/long term:

TOP SECRET//COMINT//Canadian Eyes Only

s.15(1)
s.16(2)(c)
s.21(1)(a)
s.21(1)(b)

•

Posture


- Short term there is expected to be
- If there is a large-scale change, will be prepared. Though it required significant additional effort over weeks, if not months,
- group has
- While ITS is conducting further analysis on the reports,

Next Steps

- will monitor/analyze data with enhanced awareness. Events over the next few days will inform any required response by SIGINT, and ITS and plans will be put in place accordingly.
- is coordinating within SIGINT and with ITS to ensure all players are informed.
- ITS is preparing a BN for the Chief in case it is required to brief has drafted an outline of the steps they will take to ensure all the proper folks are being engaged for information exchange in case it is required
- The has been informed that their assistance may be required, as in the previous case, to analyze the entire Wikileaks release fo ~260K documents.
- CSEC is hosting the workshop next week and could be tasked with deliverables depending on the outcome of the Wikileaks 'event'.

Director,
Green:

TOP SECRET

 Communications Security Establishment Canada Centre de la sécurité des télécommunications Canada

WIKILEAKS II ITS COORDINATED RESPONSE PLAN


Version 1.0

24 November 2010

(Cerrid #)

TOP SECRET

s.15(1)
s.21(1)(a)
s.21(1)(b)

 Communications Security Establishment Canada
Centre de la sécurité des télécommunications Canada

REQUIREMENT

In preparation for the near-term second leak of sensitive documents via Wikileaks with related malicious cyber activity, CSEC is conducting a threat posturing analysis and engagement strategy to respond to inherent risks

This involves coordinated positioning and cooperation among program tradecraft experts and effective communication to appropriate department stakeholders in Government.

EVENT TIMELINE

- Mid-November 2010 Awareness of situation
- Follow-up advisory (23 Nov 10)
- CSEC internal engagement – (telecons 24 Nov 10)
- Coordinated plan – ITS (24 Nov 10)
- Briefing Note for CCSEC – (25 Nov 10)
- and ITS outreach – (ongoing, late Nov 10)
- Follow-up with key practitioners as outlined below, as appropriate with event and impact

ENGAGEMENT OBJECTIVES

To proactively position our role and responsibilities with in advance, to communicate strategic statements to that effect and to synchronize readiness with and community partners as event unfolds to ensure risk is minimized:

RELATIONSHIP & TECHNICAL OBJECTIVES

Some of the primary client engagement objectives are:

- Internal: engage, liaise and coordinate for sharing of developments within CSEC as the key GC responders to analysis and assessment to fully guard against IT Security and SIGINT business risks that may derive from the event through following sequencing:
 - Connect with core group
 - Receive documentation from conduct respective post-analysis to identify correlations with known threat actors, business impacts
 - Coordinate with for similar view and prepare post-mortem no later than one week, post receipt of data

(Cerrid #)

TOP SECRET



Communications Security
Establishment Canada

Centre de la sécurité
des télécommunications Canada

- Monitor changes
- Daily morning teleconference (green phone) for initial assessments and ongoing developments, as event dictates
- Weekly review/indication for SMT of status/impact

- External/ : ensure ongoing exchange with counterparts going forward, post-event, on tradecraft impact/developments/response as may be required.

- External/Government of Canada: provide situational awareness and management of sensitivity surrounding state-sponsored nature of event/CSEC responsibility, through:
 - Initial SMT outreach (*need details here*)
 - Practitioners outreach (

- Ongoing ITS liaison with as principle Government filter, in order to provide IT advice and guidance, and communication lines

STAKEHOLDERS:

Internal:

External:


(Cerrid #)

TOP SECRET

s.15(1)

s.21(1)(a)

s.21(1)(b)

 Communications Security
Establishment Canada Centre de la sécurité
des télécommunications Canada

NEXT STEPS:

- analyze preliminary documents
- continue liaison with GC clients
- draft and provide media lines to
- maintain daily contact across org for situational awareness, outcomes/action

(Cerrid #)

From:
Sent: November 23, 2010 1:36 PM
To:
Subject: RE: (U) Important: Do not go to WikiLeaks

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT

Yes, that is what I'm referring to.

Cheers,

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: November 23, 2010 12:47 PM
To:
Subject: RE: (U) Important: Do not go to WikiLeaks

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT

Not sure I follow. Are you referring to the concerns I raised about the work we used to do? If so, I've talked to about that, yep.

Manager

Green:
From

"The nice part about being a pessimist is that you are constantly being either proven right or pleasantly surprised."
--George Will

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: November 23, 2010 12:25 PM
To:
Subject: FW: (U) Important: Do not go to WikiLeaks

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT

Noticed that is looking at this. Has anyone tipped them to the concerns about etc.?

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: November 23, 2010 9:28 AM
To:
Subject: FW: (U) Important: Do not go to WikiLeaks

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: November 23, 2010 9:01 AM

To:
Subject: FW: (U) Important: Do not go to WikiLeaks

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT

s.15(1)

s.16(2)(c)

Details below...

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: November 23, 2010 8:03 AM
To:
Cc:
Subject: FW: (U) Important: Do not go to WikiLeaks

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT

Hi

FYI. In case you haven't seen this yet and is still looking at the wikileak material.

Thanks.

Gr: Bl:

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2010 8:01 AM
To:
Subject: FW: (U) Important: Do not go to WikiLeaks

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//

You've probably already been informed, but just in case...

Secure: External:

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: November 22, 2010 5:07 PM
To:
Subject: FW: (U) Important: Do not go to WikiLeaks

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//

..-----Original Message-----

s.15(1)

s.16(2)(c)

s.21(1)(a)

s.21(1)(b)

From:
 Sent: November 22, 2010 5:02 PM
 To:
 Subject: FW: (U) Important: Do not go to WikiLeaks

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//

FYI... The note about wikileaks

-----Original Message-----

From:
 Sent: November 22, 2010 2:48 PM
 To:
 Subject: FW: (U) Important: Do not go to WikiLeaks

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//

-----Original Message-----

From:
 Sent: November 22, 2010 2:43 PM
 To: Thompson, Kathleen M (Kathy);
 Cc: Bruce, Shelly D; Moffa, Antonietta (Toni);
 Subject: FW: (U) Important: Do not go to WikiLeaks

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//

To note. Begs the issue of whether we should be putting something on our site. Did we do something for the first Wikileaks effort???

-----Original Message-----

From:
 Sent: November 22, 2010 2:04 PM
 To:
 Subject: FW: (U) Important: Do not go to WikiLeaks

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//

As discussed...

Director,

Directrice,

Green/vert:
 Black/noir:

-----Original Message-----

From:
 Sent: November 22, 2010 1:26 PM
 To: canslow-lo-dl
 Subject: FW: (U) Important: Do not go to WikiLeaks

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//

FYI - Might be obvious, but just in case. Excerpt from below:

">(TS//SI//) Please do not go to WikiLeaks.

Cheers,

s.15(1)

s.16(2)(c)

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2010 9:10 AM
To:
Subject: FW: (U) Important: Do not go to WikiLeaks

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//1

Seen this? I haven't seen an agency all communication as mentioned below.

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2010 8:43 AM
To:
Cc:
Subject: RE: (U) Important: Do not go to WikiLeaks

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//

Thanks

we will provide you with details from the Agency All when it is put out.

Regards,

-----Original Message-----

From: .
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2010 8:16 AM
To:
Cc:
Subject: FW: (U) Important: Do not go to WikiLeaks
Importance: High

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//

//20351101

FYI

s.15(1)
s.16(2)(c)
s.21(1)(a)
s.21(1)(b)

>
>From: _____
>Sent: Friday, November 19, 2010 7:38 AM
>To:
>Subject: FW: (U) Important: Do not go to WikiLeaks
>Importance: High
>
>
>Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//
>
>FYI...received this from the _____ Feel free to forward as appropriate.
>
>(TS//SI// _____) Please do not go to WikiLeaks.

>
>Regards,
>
>
>(U//FOUO)

>
>
>From: _____
>Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2010 4:49 PM
>To:
>Subject: FW: (U) Important: Do not go to WikiLeaks
>Importance: High
>
>
>Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//
>
>
>
>
>-----
>(U//FOUO)

>(U//FOUO)
>-----
>
>DERIVED FROM: NSA/CSSM 1-52
>DATED: 23 November 2004
>DECLASSIFY ON: 20291123

s.15(1)
s.16(2)(c)
s.21(1)(a)
s.21(1)(b)

>
>
>

>From:
>Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2010 4:39 PM
>To:
>
>
>
>Cc:

>Subject: (U) Important: Do not go to WikiLeaks
>Importance: High

>Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//

> all,

>(TS//SI//) Please do not go to WikiLeaks.

>v/r

>
>
>

>Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52
> Dated: 20070108
>Declassify On: 20351101
>Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//

Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52
Dated: 20070108
Declassify On: 20351101

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT// //20351101 Derived From: NSA/CSSM
1-52
Dated: 20070108
Declassify On: 20351101
Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//

Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52
Dated: 20070108
Declassify On: 20351101

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT// //20351101

Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52
Dated: 20070108
Declassify On: 20351101
Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//

s.16(2)(c)
s.21(1)(a)
s.21(1)(b)

TOP SECRET//COMINT//Canadian Eyes Only

Plamondon, Jean J

From:
Sent: 22 novembre 2010 10:40
To: Plamondon, Jean J
Cc: Simpson, Adrian C
Subject: RE: Next release

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//Canadian Eyes Only

We'll need some context to prepare media lines and Qs and As.

From: Plamondon, Jean J
Sent: November 22, 2010 12:36 PM
To:
Cc: Simpson, Adrian C
Subject: FW: Next release

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//Canadian Eyes Only

if true, this is BIG!! Definitely on your radar.

*Jean
Jeb*

From:
Sent: November 22, 2010 12:22 PM
To: Thompson, Kathleen M (Kathy)
Cc: ; Plamondon, Jean J; Bruce, Shelly D;
Subject: RE: Next release

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//Canadian Eyes Only

Hi Kathy...

The information that we have at present is sketchy at best. I first learned of this at about 1500 on Friday afternoon, and had immediate calls with Toni, (as A/DC SIGINT) and I have tabled this as an agenda item for tomorrow's Senior LOs meeting with and will be able to report more fully then (the meeting is in the afternoon, so expect to hear from me around 1500). has agreed to have the WikiLeaks lead brief at that time.

For now, what I can tell you is that:

The WikiLeaks release is expected for sometime in early December. There are some 200,000 documents, and that represents an

I'm asking for details in terms of Cdn content in the document, and for info on mitigation

TOP SECRET//COMINT//Canadian Eyes Only

TOP SECRET//COMINT//Canadian Eyes Only

strategies and synchronization efforts.

s.15(1)

s.16(2)(c)

Director,
Directrice,
Green/vert;
Black/noir:

From: Thompson, Kathleen M (Kathy)
Sent: November 22, 2010 12:06 PM
To:
Cc: Plamondon, Jean J;
Subject: Next release

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//Canadian Eyes Only

Hi

I understand from the Ops call this morning that there is another WIKI LEAK expected shortly (early December?). Grateful if you could share whatever you can with us so we can prepare. We may need media lines and a QP card for the MND in the event this is raised during Question Period. Anything that you can share on this particular release or any future ones would be appreciated.

Thanks,

Kathy Thompson
Director General, Policy and Communications
La directrice générale, Politiques et communications
998-2595

TOP SECRET//COMINT//Canadian Eyes Only

2

A0350729_2-000070

s.15(1)
s.16(2)(c)
s.21(1)(a)
s.21(1)(b)

TOP SECRET//COMINT//

Plamondon, Jean J

From:
Sent: 22 novembre 2010 14:43
To: Thompson, Kathleen M (Kathy);
Cc: Bruce, Shelly D; Moffa, Antonietta (Toni); Plamondon, Jean J
Subject: FW: (U) Important: Do not go to WikiLeaks

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//

To note. Begs the issue of whether we should be putting something on our site. Did we do something for the first Wikileaks effort???

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: November 22, 2010 2:04 PM
To:
Subject: FW: (U) Important: Do not go to WikiLeaks

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//

As discussed...

Director,

Directrice,

Green/vert:
Black/noir:

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: November 22, 2010 1:26 PM
To:
Subject: FW: (U) Important: Do not go to WikiLeaks

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//

FYI - Might be obvious, but just in case. Excerpt from below:

">(TS//SI//) Please do not go to WikiLeaks.

Cheers,

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2010 9:10 AM

TOP SECRET//COMINT//

TOP SECRET//COMINT//

To:
Subject: FW: (U) Important: Do not go to WikiLeaks

s.15(1)
s.16(2)(c)

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//

Seen this? I haven't seen an agency all communication as mentioned below.

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2010 8:43 AM
To:

Cc:
Subject: RE: (U) Important: Do not go to WikiLeaks

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//

Thanks

we will provide you with details from the Agency All when it is put out.

Regards,

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2010 8:16 AM
To:
Cc:
Subject: FW: (U) Important: Do not go to WikiLeaks
Importance: High

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT// //20351101

FYI

>
>From:
>Sent: Friday, November 19, 2010 7:38 AM
>To:
>Subject: FW: (U) Important: Do not go to WikiLeaks
>Importance: High
>

TOP SECRET//COMINT//

>
>Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//
>
>FYI...received this from the Feel free to forward as appropriate.
>
>(TS//SI//) Please do not go to WikiLeaks.

s.15(1)
s.16(2)(c)
s.21(1)(a)
s.21(1)(b)

>Regards,
>
>(U//FOUO)

>
>
>
>
>From:
>Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2010 4:49 PM
>To:
>Subject: FW: (U) Important: Do not go to WikiLeaks
>Importance: High

>
>Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//
>
>
>
>

>(U//FOUO)

>
>(U//FOUO)

>
>DERIVED FROM: NSA/CSSM 1-52
>DATED: 23 November 2004
>DECLASSIFY ON: 20291123
>
>
>

>
>From:
>Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2010 4:39 PM
>To:

>Cc:

>Subject: (U) Important: Do not go to WikiLeaks
>Importance: High

TOP SECRET//COMINT//I

>
>
>Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//
>
> all,
>
>(TS//SI//) Please do not go to WikiLeaks.

s.15(1)
s.16(2)(c)
s.21(1)(a)
s.21(1)(b)

>v/r

>
>
>
>Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52
> Dated: 20070108
>Declassify On: 20351101
>Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//
>

Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52
Dated: 20070108
Declassify On: 20351101

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//
1-52

//20351101 Derived From: NSA/CSSM

Dated: 20070108
Declassify On: 20351101
Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//

Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52
Dated: 20070108
Declassify On: 20351101

Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//

//20351101

Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52
Dated: 20070108
Declassify On: 20351101
Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT//

TOP SECRET//COMINT//